G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1917.

(Gen Mis)

File No. 323-17

Serial Nos. 1-3.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for November 1917.

SUBJECT.

Question of permitting the Financial Secretary to undertake surprise visits for the check of accounts.

Sl 3) To Mr J. S. Chakravarthy
G. 6307 dated 10-11-17

With reference to Sl 2 that the words of G.O. G. 11-70 G. M. 568-15-4 of 1st July 1916 do not render necessary the production of any written authority from Govt to enable him to inspect any office or institution.

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

M R Sastri
4-11-17

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(G. M.)

NOTES.

File No. 323-17

FILE HEADING.

Permitting the Financial Secretary to undertake Surprise visits for the check of accounts etc

From

No.	Dated	Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

WD 1232—GPB—20,000—18-11-18

2

Early
(For Disposal at an / Meeting)

No. C. 160

12/9/1917

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

COUNCIL MATTER.

SUBJECT.

(Clause viii of the schedule)

Question of permitting the Financial Secretary to undertake surprise visits for the check of accounts etc.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

C S Balasundaram
Secretary to Government.

8th September 1917.

Extraordinary Member of Council.

First Member of Council. Seen.

Second Member of Council. 12/9/17

14/9
18-9-17

WD 2670—GPB—1,000—11-5-17

GM File 323 of 17 D. O. No. 4L4803
SL410 1-3

Secretary
Please bring with the
Sandy meeting 2. Commd
24th Aug. 17
8-9-17 C Secretary
note in agenda -
circulate to 2nd member
etc

4

D. O. No. 482/Rev one

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Dated Bangalore, 10th August 1917.

Rev 10/8/17 seen

My dear Mr. Kantaraj Urs,

One of my duties as whole-time Financial Secretary as laid down in the Government Order dated 1st July 1916 is as -- follows:-

> "To inspect, with the previous permission of Government, the accounts, stock, cash, etc., in any Institution or office in which Government money and stores are kept."

As early as October 1909 I submitted to Government a note on the introduction of a system of Surprise Visits for the check of Accounts, cash and stores in Government and Local Fund Offices and institutions. I was several times told by successive Dewans that the scheme was a very good one but somehow or other it has not been adopted. Only the other day, the Dewan Saheb in the course of a conversation asked me to revive the proposal.

There can be no doubt that if all offices are made to feel that their accounts, cash and stock are liable to surprise check at any moment by an officer on behalf of Government, very good results can be expected.

The qualification "with the previous permission of Government" in the clause referred to above has made it impossible for me to do any inspection work. It is not possible in practice to do any inspection work after obtaining such sanction if the nature and volume of work at headquarters be taken into consideration.

If the words referred to above are deleted, from the Government Order I can spend a week or ten days every two or three months in paying surprise visits in places where they seem to me to be most needed. This practice while exercising a wholesome

5-

wholesome effect on the condition of cash and stock accounts in all offices will also be appreciated by me as a relief from --- continuous desk-work.

If considered necessary for administrative reasons, the offices of Heads of Departments may be excluded from the scope of such ordinary inspection. If it is necessary to inspect the office of any Head of a Department, previous permission of Government will be obtained.

Of course there is not the least objection in arranging the tour-programme in demi-official consultation with the Member in charge and the Dewan and with their approval. What is considered undesirable is to obtain the formal orders of -- Government for each and every inspection and the necessity of producing such order to the office inspected and to other --- parties.

If it is desired that the clause about inspection in the Order of 1st July 1916 should be given effect to in practice and a system of surprise visits introduced, then the clause in question may be kindly altered as shewn below:-

> "To inspect with or without previous notice, the account cash, stock, etc., in any institution or office in -- which money and stores belonging to or under the control of Government or a Local Fund are kept. If it is considered necessary to inspect the accounts or stock in the office of the Head of a Department the previous permission of Government should be obtained."

If you agree that any changes in the direction indicated is desirable, I shall be glad if necessary action is taken in the -- matter.

Yours sincerely,

J Chakravarti

Rajasevadhurina
Sirdar M. Kantaraj Urs, B.A., C.S.I.,
First Member of Council,

6

Permitting the Financial Secretary to undertake surprise visits for the check of accounts, etc.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

Note in Council 27/9/17. Note
Previous permission does not
secure "formal general effect"
will be necessary to formulate
informally the permission
of the 1st member & Dewan
before the commencement of the
programme. The 1st member & Dewan
will be
communicated to the Resr
for guidance.

Well
27/9

27.9

The G.O. of 1-7-16 may be modified so as to permit the F.C. Secr to inspect offices without the previous formal permission of Govt. [Such permission may be required for the inspection of Offices of Heads of Depts.]

The Resr's own programme may be arranged in consultation with the Dewan & member concerned

The position may be re-examined after a year's working of the arrangement.

Issue draft
4/10/17

4/10/17

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Fin. File No. 323 of 1917.

Serial No.	
1	To The Financial Secretary NOG. 5269 of 8. 10. 17 Informs him that previous permission does not mean 'formal order' of Govt & that it will be enough if he formally takes the approval of the 1st Member & the Deputy on a programme from time to time.
2	From the Financial Secy to Govt No. 568 of 10. 10. 17 With reference to the above points out that the wordings of the order of the 1st July 16 are capable of being interpreted as meaning that an order of Govt will have to be produced by him before he can inspect the accounts &c of an office and suggests that either the words 'with the previous Sanction of Govt' in para 2 (d) of the order of 1-7-16 be ~~omitted~~ deleted or an explanatory memorandum issued. Secretary's order V Put up with file. Initd. C.S.B. 11/10/17

Submitted
11/10/17

Gen Secy

11/12/17

With due deference I do not think the words in the G.O. bear the interpretation put on them by the Fl Secy. I do not see

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

8

2952

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. M File No. 323 of 1917.

Serial No.

how they render necessary the production of any order to the head of the office &c.

(1st member & Devan)

CBS 13/10/17

RC 13/10/17

I think so too

CBS 17/10 RC 18/10/17

1519 18.10.17

2271 18.10.17

The Financial Secretary may be informed accordingly.

6.11.17. RC

CS. Draft CBS 7/11/17

A draft D.O. letter is submitted.

CBS 8.11.17.

Issue

CBS 9/11/17 RC 9/11/17

3. Do. Mr. J.S. Chakravarthy.

G 6307 of 10.11.17.

with reference to Sl. 2 informs that the words of Govt. G.11-70/Gen.568-15-4 of 1st July do not render necessary the production of any written authority from Govt. to enable him to inspect any office or institution.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

D.O. No. G. 5269

Dated

To Fy. 323-17 SL/

The Financial Secy.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your demi-official letter No. 482 of the 10th. the first last to the First Member of Council representing the inconvenience of obtaining the previous permission of Govt. for your undertaking inspections of accounts stock & stores under Govt. order No. G-11-20-G.M.-568-15-4 dated the 1st. July 1916, I am desired to inform you that previous permission does not mean "formal orders of Govt." and that it will be enough if you informally take the ~~approval~~ of the First Member

and the Dewan or a

programme from time to time

Yours sincerely.

CBM

4/10/17

10

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

DIARY NO. 2952.
Fin 323-17-2

D.O. 568

Financial Secretariat,

Bangalore, 10th October '17.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter No. G.5269/G.M. 323-17-1 dated 8th October 1917 regarding inspection of accounts, stock and stores, I beg to point out that the wordings of the order of 1st July 1916 are capable of being interpreted as meaning that an order of Government will have to be produced by me to the office concerned before I can inspect the accounts, cash, etc. To make the intention of Government clear, I beg that either the words "with the previous sanction of Government" occurring in para 2 (d) of the order of 1st July 1916 may be deleted or an explanatory memorandum issued.

Yours sincerely,

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to Government, General Department,
Bangalore.

Gen 323-17 //
Sl. 3.

To Ltr Lg (630) dated 10.11.17

The Financial Secretary
to Govt

9/11

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. letter no 5.68 dt. 10.10.17, I am desired to inform you that the words "in the open market" occurring in para 2 of the Govt. previous permission of Govt. G.O. no G. 11-70/G.M. 568-15-4 dt. 1.7.16, do not render necessary the production of any written authority from Govt to enable you to inspect any office or institution.

Yours sincerely,

[signature]

Lpw/9/11